

- “ Body compressed or subdepressed, with the back rounded.  
 “ *Upper Antennæ* with the accessory flagellum short or absent.  
 “ *First Gnathopods* smaller than the *Second*, with the hand subcheliform.  
 “ *Second Gnathopods* with the hand subcheliform, sometimes cheliform.  
 “ The *Fourth Peræopods* longer than the *Third*, the *Fifth* than the *Fourth*.  
 “ *Third Uropods* uniramous or biramous.  
 “ *Telson* thick.”

To the Amphithoinæ Boeck assigned only the genera *Amphithoë* and *Sunamphithoë*; to the Podocerinæ *Podocerus*, *Janassa*, and *Cerapus*, of which *Janassa* is pretty certainly a synonym of *Podocerus*, and *Cerapus* not the true *Cerapus* but a synonym of *Erichthonius*, Milne-Edwards. In 1882, Sars, dropping the subfamilies, accepted the family Podoceridæ for the genera of both, naming them *Amphithoë*, *Sunamphithoë*, *Podocerus*, *Janassa*, *Erichthonius*.

For the original definition of the family Podoceridæ, see Note on Leach, 1814 (p. 86).

#### Genus *Amphithoë*,<sup>1</sup> Leach, 1813–1814.

1813. *Gammarus (Amphithoë)*, Leach, Crustaceology, Edinburgh Encyclopædia, vol. vii. p. 403.  
 1814. *Amphithoë*, Leach, Crustaceology, Appendix, Edinburgh Encyclopædia, p. 432.  
 1815. „ Leach, Trans. Linn. Soc. Lond., vol. xi. pt. ii. p. 360.  
 1816. „ Leach, Annulosa, Encyclopædia Britannica, Supplement, p. 425.  
 1816. *Cymadusa*, Savigny, Mémoires sur les Animaux sans vertèbres, pt. i. p. 109.  
 1816. *Amphithoë*, Latreille, Nouveau Dict. d'hist. Nat., t. i.  
 1817. *Amphithoë*, Latreille, Le Règne Animal, t. iii.  
 1818. *Amphithoë*, Latreille, Tableau Encyclopédique, pl. cccxxxvi. fig. 33.  
 1818. *Amphithoë*, Leach, Crustacés, Dict. d. Sci. Nat., t. xii. p. 75.  
 1825. *Amphithoë*, Audouin, Descr. de l'Égypte, Explic. des Planches (pl. xi. figs. 4, 6).  
 1825. „ Desmarest, Consid. gén. sur la classe des Crustacés, p. 268.  
 1825. „ Latreille, Fam. Nat. du Règne Animal.  
 1829. *Amphithoë*, Latreille, Le Règne Animal, t. iv.  
 1830. *Amphithoë*, Milne-Edwards, Ann. d. Sci. Nat., t. xx. (extract, p. 24).  
 1832. *Amphithoë*, Zenker, De Gammari Pulicis Fabr. Hist. Nat., p. 1.  
 1836.<sup>2</sup> *Amphithoë*, Guérin-Méneville, Iconographie du Règne Animal, t. ii. iii. pl. xxvi. fig. 9.  
 1837. *Amphithoë*, Burmeister, Handbuch der Naturgeschichte.  
 1837. „ Rathke, Beitrag zur Fauna der Krym, Mém. Acad. Imp. St. Petersburg, tom. iii. p. 379.  
 1838. *Amphithoë*, Milne-Edwards, Hist. Nat. des Anim. sans vertèbres, tom. v.  
 1840. *Amphithoë*, Lucas, Hist. Nat. des Crust. Arachn. et Myriap., p. 229.

<sup>1</sup> Since the very general acceptance of the form *Amphithoë*, I have considered that it would be inconvenient and pedantic to revert to the oddly spelt form *Ampithoë*, which Leach adopted at the first suggestion of the genus in 1813, and continued to use in his later writings.

<sup>2</sup> If the genus *Sunamphithoë*, Spence Bate, should be united to *Amphithoë*, as being separated by only one mark of distinction of doubtful generic value, *Anisopus*, Templeton, 1836, would then have to be included in the synonymy of *Amphithoë*.