and that two allied species of adults may have originated in the same manner from a common type, while their larvæ have remained alike.

Still, after all these allowances, it still remains true that, inasmuch as the larvæ of two closely related species are themselves more closely related by blood than the larvæ of more widely separated species, their bodily structure must exhibit a record of this relationship which can be discovered by study and comparison, and which will agree to some extent with the record presented by the organisation of the adults; the degree of agreement depending upon the completeness of the two records and the correctness of our interpretation.

What then is the natural or phylogenetic classification of the Erichthidæ or Stomatopod larvæ when they are studied by themselves and treated as adult animals?

The genera of Erichthidæ which have been recognised by the systematists are Erichthoidina, Erichthus, Squillerichthus, and Alima, and of these four the first, Erichthoidina, is simply a young Erichthus, and the third, Squillerichthus, a fully grown larva of the Erichthus type, so that the genera become reduced to two, Erichthus and Alima. Of these two genera, one, Alima, is much more sharply defined than the other, Erichthus, which contains a number of divergent types which admit of definition. Three of these types are represented in the collection by numerous species, and are well known. As it will be convenient to have names for them I shall use names which indicate the adult genera or subgenera to which they are to be referred.

The Gonerichthus type, which Claus correctly refers to the genus Gonodactylus, for reasons which receive added weight from the study of the Challenger specimens, is shown in Pl. XV. fig. 6.

The Pseuderichthus type, which Claus has given very conclusive reasons<sup>2</sup> for regarding as the young of Pseudosquilla, is shown in Pl. XII. fig. 6.

The Lysiocrichthus (Pl. XI. figs. 1-5), which Claus erroneously regards as the young of Squilla, is, as I shall show, the young of Lysiosquilla. These three groups, together with Alima (Pl. I. figs. 4, 5), which Claus regards as the young of Lysiosquilla, but which, as I shall show, is confined to the genus Squilla and diagnostic of this genus, include nearly all the Stomatopod larvæ, although there are a few larvæ which have a more isolated position, such as the one shown in Claus's fig. 14, which I shall designate as Erichthalima, and others which are intermediate between the three Erichthus types. The statement on p. 610 and footnote in Claus's Grundzüge der Zoologie, that he has shown from the study of alcoholic specimens that Alima is the young of Squilla will seem to conflict with my own statement that he regards Alima as a young Lysiosquilla, but a reference to pp. 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 138, and 154 of his Monograph will show that he refers a number of Erichthus larvæ to the genus Squilla,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Metamorphose der Squilliden, pp. 138 and 139.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Metamorphose der Squilliden, pp. 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, and 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Metamorphose der Squilliden, pp. 140-146.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Metamorphose der Squilliden, p. 154.